

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

lay

APPLICATION NO),	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,663		01/03/2002	Mudit K. Jain	HRT-58192	1583	
24201	7590	02/11/2004		EXAMINER		
FULWID	ER PATT	ON LEE & UTI	GIBSON, ROY DEAN			
		S CENTER		ADTIDUT	D. DED . W. O. C. D. D.	
6060 CEN	TER DRIV	/E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TENTH F	LOOR			3739	Con /	
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	A 90045		DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	, 8 E;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(*			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
	10/037,663	JAIN, MUDIT K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Roy D. Gibson	3739	-			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif to Poeriod for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati	ion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 I	November 2003.	•				
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	· -					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-50 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) 20-43 and 45-50 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4,11-13,16-19 and 44 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) 5-8,14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		* ' ' '	7 7			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3739

Entry of Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on Nov. 17, 2003, as Paper No. 5, is acknowledged.

Claims 9 and 10 have been canceled and claims 45-50 have been added by the applicant, thus, claims 1-8 and 11-50 are currently pending.

Prior Rejections or Objections

The following comments pertain to the rejections or objections in the most recent Office action, Paper No. 3, mailed on May 2, 2003. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 102 and 103 are withdrawn, however, new grounds of rejection are presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-13, 16 and 18-19 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11-13 and 16 and 18-19 recite the limitation "electrode system" in lines 1-

2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The Examiner suggests these claims and claim 17 should depend from claim 2 to correct this. Note that if claims 11 and 12 depend form claim 2 they become duplicates of claims 3 and 4.

Art.Unit: 3739

Claim 44 recites the limitation "the assessment impedance and the reference impedance" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ben-Haim et al. (6,200,310). Ben-Haim et al. disclose a catheter comprising:

a shaft having a distal segment with a tissue-contacting area and a fluid contacting area;

a pressure sensor associated with the distal segment and inherently positioned within the tissue contacting area; at least one flow sensor (92) positioned within the fluid-contacting area; an electrode system (inherently part of an RF energy application applicator which is discloses as an alternative equivalent to a laser and which would be located at the distal segment) and inherently located adjacent the flow sensor (Figure 7A and col. 2, lines 16-25, col. 7, lines 39-59, col. 8, lines 40-54 and col. 9, lines 42-59).

Art. Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Haim et al. The examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to locate the pressure sensor on or adjacent to the electrode as required by the surgical procedure and to additionally locate a temperature sensor (well known in the art) within the tissue-contacting area to provide the required temperature.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-43 and 45-50 are allowed.

Claims 5-8, 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13, 16 and 18-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 44 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sun et al. (6,391,024) disclose an RF ablation apparatus with a plurality of electrodes at the distal segment and a means to visually displace the percent of electrode/tissue contact via the measurement of impedance rather than pressure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 703-308-3520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 703-308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,663 Page 6

Art, Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy D. Gibson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

February 4, 2004